ALC: NO
1
1

NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING PLANTS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)
AIRS ID#: 1150148 DA	TE: <u>09/14/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>~1:30 pm</u>	DEPART: <u>~2:30 pm</u>
FACILITY NAME: FR	EDERICK DERR & COMPANY	7	
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 3801 N ORANGE AVE		
	SARASOTA 34234-47	55	
Email: robert@frede CONTACT NAME: C	erickderrcompany.com	ERT TENNANT PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(941)809-2041

Facility Section

PART I: INSPECTION CON	IPLIANCE STATUS (check Ø only	y one box)
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

	ART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING Name(s) of facility representative(s): Robert Tennant	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
	Brief Notes:			
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still ROBERT TENNANT?	Xes Yes	No	
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still CHRIS GAGLIANO? If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No	
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?		□No □No	

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 –NMMP Plant-diesel RICE genset power unit for crusher</u>

		(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processi {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorr is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Grani Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ty te, l Gravel; Salt; vride, , Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
2	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	∐No □No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		No
	. Is the EU one of the following?		🖾No
	 crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station; crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. <i>{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}</i> 		
	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to ubpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
	. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
7	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	No
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No
8.	. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No
11			

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket ele			
belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first or grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		☐ Ye	
<i>{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes up</i>			s []No
which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is		1	
at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" m		ı	
with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not gen		a	
of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors			
solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purpose		u	
solely by wel suppression systems is not considered to be subtrated for purpose	s of this acfinition.j		
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production	ı line		
downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first			
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Ye:	s 🗌No
<i>Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and</i>	operated to extract		
any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where			
mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with	th sufficient surface		
moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from process	ing of the material		
through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that	is wetted solely by		
wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this a	lefinition.}		
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subje	ct to		
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Questi	on 11.		
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?			
12. Was the EO constructed, mounted, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008:		Ye:	s []No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Qu	estion 20		
13. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including en			
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a co	ontrol device?	∐ Ye	sNo
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Qu	estion 19		
14. Initial Tests:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days o			
initial startup of the EU?		Ye:	=
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscn		Ye:	=
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture sy		Ye:	=
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Ye:	s []No
15 If the FIL is a building analoging any other regulated FILs and all analoged FL	la ana nat		
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EU individually in compliance with emissions limits:	s are not		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 d	lave of		
initial startup of the EU?	🗌 N/A	Ye:	s 🗌 No
A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air fl			
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM)			
one or more affected EUs.}			
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscn	n (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Ye:	s 🗌No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building		Ye:	=
d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or eq		TYe	=
			-

1	-NMMP	Plant-diesel	RICE	genset	power	unit for	crusher
_							

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	T Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
 a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	No
 and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 	Yes	DNo
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
		NO
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	 Yes Yes Yes Yes 	□ No □No □No □No

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	T Yes	□ No
A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the	105	
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}	□ • •	
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		L.No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opa	city? 🗌 Yes	L.No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	LNo
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufac	cturer's	_
instructions?	Yes	L.No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within	+250	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubbe	r and the	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructio		□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
of design servicentg riquid now rate.		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 year	rs? 🗌 Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?		L.No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	L.No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit.		\square No
		NO
Rate:		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	L.No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_	_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	L.No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?		L.No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	No
Rate:	_	_
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	No
VE On asity Limita		
VE Opacity Limits FU not subject to Subpart OOO FU S	ubnart OOO EU	
ENT DOT SUDJECT TO A SUDDART CHURCH HALL ST	1111111111111111111111	

	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%

Emissions Unit Section <u>2 –NMMP Plant-primary crusher w/diesel genset</u>

I

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	box for each	question)
1. 2. 3.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock 3. (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vernic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.} Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	ng Plants? ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite, ulite; ∑ Yes ∑ Yes	question)
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	XNo
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	🖾No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	🛛 Yes	No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No

-			
9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	🖾No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	d	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material	,	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processir	ıg	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wette	ed	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,	_	_
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	🖾No
	<i>{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
	wei suppression systems is not considered to be saturated for purposes of this definition.		
If	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? <u>1/1/2006</u>		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	XNo
<i>If</i>	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	. Initial Tests:		
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? \Box N/A	Yes	∐ No
	$\{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the number of exhausting from a building air commission particulate matter (BM) emissions from$		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	\square No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	\square No
1	and the second s		

16.Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	- 🗌 Yes	□No
 uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufactur as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance) 		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	- 🗌 Yes	LNo
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Ye Ye	s 🗌 No
$\{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the$		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	∏ Ye	s 🗌No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		
e. Were mittal fuglitive emissions from for vent bunding openings less than of equal to 7% opacity.		
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	🗌 Ye	s 🗌No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	T Ye	s 🗌No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th	e	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?		s 🗌No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	∏ Ye	s 🗌No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	X Ye	s 🗌No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Xe Ye	
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	∏ Ye	s 🛛No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	T Ye	=
Rate:		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	∏ Ye	s 🗌No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	∏ Ye	s 🗌No
d. Did the VE lest demonstrate compliance with the opacity mint: (See chart below)		s <u> </u>
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	∏ Ye	s 🖾No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		
Rate:		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	T Ye	s 🗌No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	∏ Ye	s ПNo
VE On a site Limita		

VE Opacity Limits			
	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%

<u>RI</u>	EASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	 Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring? 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	 b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A 	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes □ Yes □ Yes	□ No □ No ⊠ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY (check \square only one box for each question) 1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? ----- Yes ...No b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? ------...No c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? ------ TYes ...No 2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? ------ Yes ...No If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? ----- Yes ...No If YES, what other general permit units or activities?

3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
	a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Yes	🖾No
	b) 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes	🖾No
	c) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes	🖾No
	d) 1.3 million gallons of propane? Yes	🖾No
	e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? [] Yes	🖾No
<u>(</u> 4	$\frac{1.000}{\text{ gal diesel/yr}} + () \frac{\text{gal gasoline/yr}}{1.007} + () \frac{\text{MM SCF nat. gas/yr}}{1.007} + () \frac{\text{MM gal propane/yr}}{1.007} \leq 1.007$	
27	75,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption	
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? Xes	No

Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	only one
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	box for each	question)
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air		
	pollution control devices?	Yes	🖾No
2.	Does the owner or operator:		
	a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🛛 Yes	No
	b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		_
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	🛛 Yes	No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces	s	
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		
	permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	No

	ELOCATABLE PLANT The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
2.	 For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? 	6)]	□No □No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		□No
	 b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period? 	Yes Yes	□No □No

	HANGES Iministrative Changes:	(check 🗹 box for each	2
	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	its or Ves	⊠No
	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	Yes	No
	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been		_
	a) Installation of any new process equipment?		L.No
	b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	- 🛛 Yes	No
	c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	- 🛛 Yes	No
	d) A change in ownership?		No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sub	mitted	
	30 days prior to the change?	🗌 Yes	No

Michael Storino

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

09/14/2011

Date of Inspection

12/31/2013

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: INS3. Portable / relocatable sprinklers used to water piles as needed.

Observed EU-002 NMMPP VE testing.

ICE: John Deere Loader 1998 624 hp; John Deere Excavator 1996 892 E LC; Komatsu 1995 PC220LC-6; Power Screen Power Grid 1991 Hatz-Reman serial #DIN/15030461FN; and Mack water truck 1993 CH-613.